



Helium-based gas mixtures : status of the analysis review

<u>E.Bossini</u>

Datasets

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Sch

PISA:

50/50 : 22-23 Dic 2021 60/40: 7-8 Dic 2021 70/30: 6-7 Nov 2021 std: 13 Ott 2021 RENDE: 100/0: 10 Jul 2021 50/50 : 14 Oct 2021 60/40: 15 Oct 2021 70/30: 16 Oct 2021 std: 23 Dic 2021

New dataset added to the analysis!

Same dataset used for plots shown at previous conferences

- Std, 50-50, 60-40 and 70-30 mixtures studied on each telescope
- Triggering chambers with fixed HV and std mixture
- Bottom chamber (PISA) and middle chamber (REND-01) used as test chamber
- Chamber gaps : 300um
- ➤ Thr ~600mV

Data at different Thrs are available for both stations, but not used in the present analysis

What's new





+ investigation on hits outside chamber (@+-140cm)

Extreme

Enerav

Investigation on hits outside chamber

Hits outside chamber edges



In test chamber, hits reconstructed outside active region of the chamber are accumulated in a well-defined area. Reflections on patch FE cards?? Reason still not clear. The peaks are at +-140cm

Hits outside chamber edges

Already shown



In test chamber, hits reconstructed outside active region of the chamber are accumulated in a well-defined area. Reason still not clear. The peaks are at +-140cm Reflections on patch FE cards??

Extreme

Energy

Events

Clusters outside chamber edges

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



REND-01 MID camber, 50-50 - HITS

Same effect visible in the Cluster distribution

REND-01 MID camber, 50-50 - CLUSTERS

Correlation X-DeltaToT



REND-01 MID camber, 50-50 All hits (at least one valid ToT) ΔΤοΤ ∆ToT (ns) 1600 60 - 1400 1200 20 20 1000 800 -20 600 400 200 -60 -200 -200 -100 100 200 Λ X (cm)

REND-01 MID camber, 50-50 hits with valid ToT on both sides



We can detect two population (at least!) in the central region:

- uncorrelated to X position
- Linearly correlated with X postion

Hit peaks outside borders seems to be part of the uncorrelated population

E.Bossini

Helium-based mixture review status, 22/02/2023

Correlation X-DeltaToT

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

REND-01 MID camber, Std hits with valid ToT on both sides



REND-01 MID camber, 50-50 hits with valid ToT on both sides



Hit peaks outside borders seems to be part of the uncorrelated population

In the central region of the plot a similar beahviour is found with std mixtures. Further investigation ongoing...

Update on efficiency and other parameters



- All events with only one hit in triggering chambers
- After fiducial cut
- INV beta cut
- Wider distribution for PISA-01: top and middle chamber known to be not very good
- Non negligible background for REND-01

E.Bossini

Corrections

3 typologies of corrections considered:

- a. selected event was generated by noise in triggering chambers, considered inefficient -> false negative
- selected event was generated by noise in triggering chambers, matched in test chamber-> triple noise coincidence (considered negligible)
- c. selected event is a real particle, matched in test chamber by a noise hit -> false positive

Correction can be performed by estimating the number of event for each category and modifying the numerator/denominator for the efficiency a -> reduction of the denominator

type "b" example type "a" example hbetaBKG hbetaBKGEfficient 34395 Entries 278 Entries 1.68 Mean 45 1.4 Mean RMS 1.663 RMS 1.478 30 F 25 20 F 15<u>-</u> 10 -0.50 0.5 2 2.5 3 1.5 0.5 1.5 InvBeta InvBeta

The corrections are computed by fitting the invbeta distribution in the BKG region and integrating the fitting function in the signal region.

 For REND-01 a positive relative correction of ~6% is found

b -> reduction of both numerator and denominator

c ->reduction of numerator

 Type b correction are usually negligible





Extreme

Energy

E

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Cluster size

4



Streamer fraction

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Efficiency Vs Streamer fraction

Efficiency Vs Cluster size

4



X Residuals

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



Remark: X postion is computed as a non-weighted average of all the hits of the cluster

X Residuals – All 60/40 histos

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Z



Y Residuals

Ene

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Similar shape for all measurements



Remark: y coordinate «suffer» of discretization

Preliminary Timing Studies

T Residuals – naïve approach

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



- T of middle chamber computed as a nonweighted average of all hits in the matching cluster
- No ToT calibration
- T calibration only at the hit level (see old presentations) -> not enough precision, ~1ns



T Residuals – naïve approach



E.Bossini

Extreme

Energy

Events

Science inside Schools

4

T Residuals – naïve approach

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools







4



T Residuals – strategy

Strategy for better time computation, after several test:

- Compute the cluster time as the time of the hit with larger ToT -> cluster time determined by only one strip
- Remove the time calibration applied during the hit reconstruction
- Apply a «strip by strip» time calibration, based on the residual offset on each strip



T Residuals Vs y position

The same calibration has been used for all mixtures/HV extrapolated from the std mixture.

Can be refirned by doing a different calibration for each mixture

Extreme

Eneray

Calibration effect

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



Strip calibration

4

Calibration effect

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Residual TmaxToT sigma (ns) Legend eff 5050.root eff 6040.root eff 7030.root eff_100.root 0.9 eff std.root 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 12000 14000 22000 24000 18000 16000 20000 $HV_{corr}(V)$ ∆TimemaxToT resolution ∆TimemaxToT resolution ∆TimemaxToT resolution ndeltamaxTe hdeltamaxTo 48574 -1.817 -3.459 50-50 Mean -1.817 Std Dev 1.02 50-50 50-50 50-50 2000 10000 4000 1000 3000

TmaxToT residuals sigma

Few remarks:

- This procedure is really different from what is currently implemented
- It is focused on the minimization of the middle chamber only. Quite «ad hoc» implementation.
- Still at large bias time precision degrade, in particular with large fractions of Helium. This is due to a residual corelation between theT residuals and the cluster size
- Not all distribution are gaussian. We must define a criteria to exclude some of the points



DQM distributions and stability

DQM distributions

(180/TMath::Pi()*atan2(YDir,XDir)) {ChiSquare<5&&Ntracks==1}



Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Needs clear explanation of the differences:

- Theta distribution completely changed. Different interdistance?
- Phi Distribution less asimmetric (linked to theta?)
- Higher track multiplicity -> likely an artifact induced by the larger cluster size



Thanks to C.Ripoli for the plots!

E.Bossini



Plots generated with all three chamber fluxed with new mixture 60-40.

Could be worth adding PISA-01 (or others)?

DQM distributions

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

TrackLength/(TimeOfFlight-0.35)/29.9792458 {ChiSquare<5&&Ntracks==1}



E.Bossini



Needs clear explanation of the differences:

- Tot/beta linked to the uncorrected timing
- Why double peak in std X^2 distribution?

Plots generated with all three chamber fluxed with new mixture 60-40.

Could be worth adding PISA-01 (or others)?



Stability plot 2

Apologize for the low resolution

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools





Plot generated with all three chamber fluxed with new mixture 60-40.

Conclusion



- > A first estimation of all parameters for REND-01 station has been done
- Few aspects need further investigation, especially in the time domain
- Some aspect of the DQM plots needs to be clarified

backup

Analysis steps

 \square Review of efficiency code(s) :

- CNAF official reco code (from binary to "debug tree")
- Dedicated efficiency code by S.Boi.

2 main critical aspects :

- Efficiency, streamer fraction and cluster multiplicity are not obtained in a consistent way (different codes and/or different cuts). -> Difference in efficiency between CNAF eff. code and dedicated code (tuned for streamer % computation) ~10%
- Streamer are not <<1%, but of the order of 10% (50/50 mixture)

☑ Debug/improve actual code. Main changes:

- New clusterization algorithm
- New calibration procedure (simultaneous time/space calibration)
- New selection cuts

At present the code can extrapolate streamer and efficiency simultaneously. Difference in efficiency between CNAF eff. code and dedicated code below 2%

☑ Further optimization/automatization of the code, target discrepancy below 1% (Autom./optim. to be refined)

☑ Validation on a larger set of runs (at present I'm using a PISA run with 50/50 mixture @ eff. plateau, worst condition in terms of reconstruction).

☑ Recompute efficiency for the selected efficiency scans (2 telescopes, ~4 mixtures)

Re-reco of PISA data after fix of DST producer -> New plots of parameter distributions (beta, Theta, ToF,...) -> C.Ripoli



Code review: CNAF

Science inside Schools Several codes used for the efficiency analysis, I decided to base the analysis review on the code developed by S.Boi. It takes as input the DST files generated by the CNAF reconstruction code, using a low level TTRee.



- 1. <u>multi-hit on the same channel possible</u>
- 2. Trailing edges without prior leading edge are discarded
- 3. <u>leading edges without a trailing edge are registered with TOT=0</u>

CUT: hit is discarded is the time of arrival is outside the limits taken by the configuration file «if (timeHit >= fCalib->GetTbLowLimitRight() && timeHit < fCalib->GetTbHighLimitRight())»

<u>CUT: For each channel a maximum of 6 hit are passed to the</u> <u>hit array</u>

Extreme

Energy Events

Code review: CNAF

EEE HIT array

EEE Debug tree

Note: If a strip has no hit on one side, the time on that channel

is set to 0 and the TOT=-1

<u>CUT: ONLY the first hit per channel is transmitted, other are</u> <u>discarded</u>

□ Data from "PISA" DAQ are reconstructed with hardcoded values:

- of the geometry (in particular distance between chambers, wrongly set) -> RE-RECO DONE
- of the architecture (NINO version, correctly set)

DST file content



Extreme

ce inside Schools

Energy Events

Code review: efficiency extraction



Workflow based on the workflow of S.Boi.



Details are given here

Keeping the same code infrastructure (well done and with an event display!), the following section were changed:

- New calibration procedure (simultaneous time/space calibration)
- New clusterization algorithm
- Upgraded selection cuts
- Minor fixes (not discussed here) and more control histograms

Analysis workflow –T Limit selection

For each mixture, a "pilot" run is selected, with a voltage of the chamber under test in the plateau region. Plateau is known from the previous analysis on the dataset.

The pilot run is reconstructed without T-cuts at CNAF and the hits reconstructed with the analys workflow (1st stage). The raw T distributions are then used to optimize the T-cut.

All runs with the same mixture are then reconstructed with the same cut.



Extreme

side Schook

Energy Events

Calibration

The calibration performs a simultaneous calibration of space and time. It also calibrate the average middle time to be centered w.r.t. the outer chambers.

- 1. For each strip compute
 - average x coordinate
 - average hit T (TI+Tr/2) distribution
- 2. For each chamber:
- Average hit T distribution

T distribution have large shape variation between telescopes and clk distribution system. The average T can be computed with two parametrized modes:

- Gaussian Fit
- "Box fit" (as for the x coordinate)





Calibration

3. Space/ correction are independently applied



E.Bossini

-xtreme

Enera

Raw data offset

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



Z



Clusters



The algorithm in short:

1. First hit is promoted to cluster and removed from hit array.

Extreme

Enerav

- Scan over the hit array to find the first hit with XY distance below 10cm. Metrics: minimum distance between the hit and all the hits already part of the cluster
- 3. If some hit is added to cluster, remove it form the hit array and go back to point 2.
- When no more hits can be added to the present cluster, compute cluster parameter (baricenter, T, average ToT)
- 5. if the hit array is not empty, create a new cluster with first hit and go back to point 2.

Note: code optimization to reduce clusterization step to few seconds (100K events) The cluster will contain the list of hits -> useful in the last stage of the analysis

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools

Event selection as in the past but new metrics to check the "distance" between a cluster and the projected hit



Event = 151

Old metrics: Distance to barycenter New metrics: distance to closest hit of the cluster Cluster multiplicity and streamer are computed from the multiplicity of best-matching cluster.

Selection cut on the triggered events (some tuning still needed):

- Extrapolated hit within test chamber acceptance (5<y<75 cm, -60<x<60 xm)</p>
- zdir > 0.9 (DISABLED)
- particle inverse beta within correct window (see next slide)

Criteria for efficiency:

- distance between the extrapolated hit and the closest cluster below 15 cm
- Time difference between the extrapolated hit and the closest cluster below 10 ns



- Weird behavior of PISA-01 "std" mixture
- Curves looks shifted by ~1.5kV -> potentially due to HV readout offset, chamber differences
- Std" curve lies between 50/50 and 60/40 for both stations



Def: Cluster size is the number of hits forming the cluster closer to the extrapolated point in the test chamber. The uncertainty is the RMS of the cluster size distribution divided the square root of the number of entries in the histogram

Same behavior between the two station, apart for the HV "offset"



Def: Streamer fraction is the fraction of efficient events where the cluster size in the test chamber was > 3 Same behavior between the two station, apart for the HV "offset"

Streamer fraction reach high values for both 60/40 and 50/50 mixtures (i.e., it is already ~1% @ 80% efficiency for 60/40 mixture)

E.Bossini

REND-01: CNAF comparison

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



Comparison of analysis results of REND-01 data are consistent between CNAF, present analysis and old studies

PISA-01: CNAF comparison

Energy

Extreme



Comparison of analysis results of PISA-01 data shows inconsistent results between CNAF and present analysis

PISA-01: CNAF comparison

Extreme Energy Events Science inside Schools



Comparison of analysis results of PISA-01 data shows inconsistent results between CNAF and present analysis

This aspect requires further investigation, which is ongoing

E.Bossini